

# Switch to digital TV is still causing trouble

**Television »** Stations that moved digital signals from UHF to VHF are hard to find with indoor antennas.

THE ASSOCIATED PRESS

**New York »** Think the digital TV transition is over? Not quite.

Many viewers have found that they can't pick up certain stations after the switch, even with the right TVs or converter boxes. The stations are still trying to figure out ways to help them tune in.


The main problem is that when the last major stations turned off their analog signal on June 12 to broadcast entirely in digital, some of them moved their digital signals from the UHF frequency band (channels 14 to 69) to VHF (channels 2 to 13). To most viewers, these channels are just different numbers on the remote. But as signals in the airwaves, they have very

different characteristics. VHF hadn't been used much for digital signals, and there were indications that there would be problems with the switch, partly because viewers had inadequate indoor antennas. Still, the switch went ahead.

Since then, at least 20 VHF stations have asked the Federal Communications Commission to move their digital signals back to UHF, and more would like to do so. However, the government has sold off some of the UHF band to cell phone carriers, leaving less space for TV channels. Another portion is planned to be used for emergency services, which was another reason for the digital television transition.

Philadelphia's ABC affiliate, WPVI, switched its digital signal to channel 6 on June 12, and got thousands of calls per day from viewers who couldn't find the station on their sets anymore.

Within a week, WPVI got emergency permission from



**A digital receiver for an analog television may not be a complete solution for the digital switch that happened earlier this summer. Many TV stations have found viewers can't pick them up after the switch, and are still trying to figure out ways to help them tune in.**

the FCC to quadruple its transmission power; it could do that because the closest station that also uses channel 6, in Binghamton, N.Y., also wanted to increase its power, which meant it wouldn't be overwhelmed by the stronger signal from Philadelphia. But in other cases, increasing

power is a complicated proposition involving several stations. More than 50 VHF stations have applied to increase their signal power.

The power increase helped WPVI punch through to a lot of viewers, but the station still gets calls every day. Hank Volpe, director of engineering

at WPVI, says he understands the loss of the station's UHF slot, "but I would have loved to have a UHF channel to play with."

Mark Colombo, a TV enthusiast and electrical engineering student who maintains an online database of the country's TV stations,

said, "Everyone who had any sense" knew that broadcasting digitally on channel 6 or lower would yield terrible reception. Those channels are susceptible to interference from household electronics, spark plugs in passing vehicles and distant thunderstorms.

## Skype founders file suit against Skype buyer

**Web »** Confidential information was used to form a deal with eBay, suit alleges.

THE ASSOCIATED PRESS

**San Francisco »** The founders of Internet phone service Skype have filed another lawsuit that may put a wrench in eBay Inc.'s plan to sell Skype for \$2 billion to a private investor group.

In a lawsuit filed Friday in a Delaware state court, Joost N.V. and Joltid Ltd. sued former Joost CEO Mike Volpi, alleging he breached his legal duty to Joost by using confidential information to form a deal with eBay to buy

the majority of Skype. Volpi is a former Skype board member and partner at Index Ventures, part of a group of private investors buying Skype. He stepped down as Joost CEO in July, but remained its chairman until just after eBay announced in early September that it would sell Skype.

Joost and Joltid were founded by Skype founders Niklas Zennstrom and Janus Friis, who sold Skype to eBay for \$2.6 billion in 2005 and left the company in 2007.

Despite posting strong growth — Skype's revenue rose 25 percent to \$170 million in the second quarter — eBay's history with the company has been rocky. eBay ended up taking a \$900

million write-down on Skype in 2007, basically acknowledging it had significantly overvalued it.

eBay said this month that rather than spin off the company through a public stock offering, it will sell 65 percent of Skype to a group of private investment funds for \$1.9 billion in cash and \$125 million to be paid later. eBay will own the other 35 percent.

Now, Joost and Joltid allege that Volpi was able to bring together investors to make a "surprisingly aggressive"

bid for Skype by using his knowledge of the technology that Skype licenses from Joltid to route calls over the Internet.

The lawsuit also alleges Volpi breached Joost's trust as its CEO by not returning a computer and confidential information, despite Joost's repeated demands.

The companies seek the return of documents and files containing confidential information, a prohibition on the use of any of the information and unspecified damages.

## Climb aboard the big rigs at Junior League festival

The Junior League of Salt Lake City today will present its first Touch a Truck festival, in which Utahns will be able to climb aboard, explore and learn about semitrailer, construction and emergency-response vehicles.

Tickets are \$5 per person or \$20 for a family of up to six people and can be purchased for cash at the event.

Proceeds will help fund community projects


undertaken by the Junior League, which is an organization of women committed to promoting volunteerism and improving communities through education and leadership training.

The Touch a Truck festival will be held from 10 a.m. to 2 p.m. at the University of Utah Guardsman Way parking lot, 500 South and Guardsman Way (1580 East).

— *The Salt Lake Tribune*

## Penalties lower for underage liquor violations

Eateries caught in stings are charged lower fines than in 2005, and many choose to pay rather than be closed down.



Source: Utah Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control

The Salt Lake Tribune

## Liquor

» Continued from E1

first-time violators may not require as severe a penalty to get the point across."

Eateries that do have subsequent violations are slapped with greater penalties, including permanently losing their license to sell alcohol, she added.

Owners had complained that automatically shutting down an eatery for a liquor violation punished not only the guilty server but also innocent employees.

"Routinely shutting down a restaurant seemed pretty drastic," said Commission Chairman Sam Granato, who is running for the U.S. Senate on the Democratic ticket, "particularly since the server who provides alcohol to a minor has always faced arrest, criminal charges and fines."

The report examined penalties from 2005 — shortly after eateries could choose between fines or suspension — through 2008, when fines and suspension time were eased even further.

Liquor-control commissioners agreed to lower penalties in April 2008 after learning that other control states

— particularly Pennsylvania and Maryland, with violation schedules similar to Utah's — were levying fines of only \$800 to \$1,200 with no suspension time.

By comparison, Utah was assessing fines of up to \$3,000 with suspension times from five to 30 days for violations involving minors.

By a 4-1 vote, Utah commissioners agreed to stay within state guidelines, but on the lower end of the penalty grid.

Now, Utah penalties involving underage drinkers are comparable to most other control states. On average in those states, a first-time offense carries a fine of about \$1,176 or a three-day suspension. The report looked at 236 violations, including serving underage drinkers alcohol, minors caught in bars and underage employees serving alcohol to patrons.

Under Utah's penalty grid, a first offense carries a fine of \$500 to \$3,000 and five to 30 days of suspension. Second-offense fines range from \$1,000 to \$9,000 and a 10- to 90-day suspension. Subsequent offenses carry fines from \$9,000 to \$25,000, a 15-day suspension and license revocation.

dawn@sltrib.com

**070—Legal Notices**  
Request for Qualifications and Notice for Consulting Engineering and Construction Management Services

**070—Legal Notices**  
City of North Salt Lake REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL  
Sealed proposals will be received by the North Salt Lake Department of Public Works, Paul Ottosen, City Engineer, 642 North 400 West, North Salt Lake City, Utah until 2:00 P.M., Thursday, October 1, 2009 for the following:  
FOXBORO PARK PHASE ONE 1140 WEST 1100 NORTH NORTH SALT LAKE, UTAH  
Proposals Documents may be procured at the office of the Architect on or after SEPTEMBER 16, 2009, upon a deposit of \$100.00 (checks only accepted) per set, a maximum of two sets, as a guarantee of the safe return of undamaged documents to the Architect within 10 days of receipt of proposals.  
A Mandatory Pre-Bid conference will be held at 10:00 AM September 23, 2009 at the North Salt Lake City Public Works Office. All prospective bidders are required to attend.  
The City reserves the right to reject any or all proposals, and to waive any informality or technicality in any proposal submitted in the interest of the City of North Salt Lake.  
495244 UPAXLP

**070—Legal Notices**  
SUMMONS BY PUBLICATION IN THE NORTHERN DIVISION OF THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, JUDGE: ADKINS  
Raven Casey, Petitioner, vs. Blake W Widner, Respondent. THE STATE OF UTAH TO THE ABOVE PETITIONER AND PLAINTIFF. YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED AND REQUIRED TO FILE AN ANSWER IN WRITING TO THE ATTACHED PETITION WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED IN THE STATE OF UTAH, OR WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED OUTSIDE THE STATE OF UTAH. IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO, JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT WILL BE TAKEN AGAINST YOU FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE AMENDED COMPLAINT. ANY ANSWER TO THIS ACTION MUST BE FILED WITH THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION, 350 SOUTH MAIN, SUITE 100-A, WASHINGTON, UTAH, 84780, AND ELECTRONICALLY TO douglasbuchi@utah.gov. 493993 UPAXLP

**070—Legal Notices**  
SUMMONS BY PUBLICATION IN THE NORTHERN DIVISION OF THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, JUDGE: ADKINS  
Raven Casey, Petitioner, vs. Blake W Widner, Respondent. THE STATE OF UTAH TO THE ABOVE PETITIONER AND PLAINTIFF. YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED AND REQUIRED TO FILE AN ANSWER IN WRITING TO THE ATTACHED PETITION WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED IN THE STATE OF UTAH, OR WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED OUTSIDE THE STATE OF UTAH. IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO, JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT WILL BE TAKEN AGAINST YOU FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE AMENDED COMPLAINT. ANY ANSWER TO THIS ACTION MUST BE FILED WITH THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION, 350 SOUTH MAIN, SUITE 100-A, WASHINGTON, UTAH, 84780, AND ELECTRONICALLY TO douglasbuchi@utah.gov. 493993 UPAXLP

**PLACE YOUR CLASSIFIED AD**  
7:30A.M. TO 5:30P.M. MONDAY THRU THURSDAY  
7:30A.M. TO 5:00P.M. FRIDAY

**070—Legal Notices**  
SUMMONS BY PUBLICATION IN THE NORTHERN DIVISION OF THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, JUDGE: ADKINS  
Raven Casey, Petitioner, vs. Blake W Widner, Respondent. THE STATE OF UTAH TO THE ABOVE PETITIONER AND PLAINTIFF. YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED AND REQUIRED TO FILE AN ANSWER IN WRITING TO THE ATTACHED PETITION WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED IN THE STATE OF UTAH, OR WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED OUTSIDE THE STATE OF UTAH. IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO, JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT WILL BE TAKEN AGAINST YOU FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE AMENDED COMPLAINT. ANY ANSWER TO THIS ACTION MUST BE FILED WITH THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION, 350 SOUTH MAIN, SUITE 100-A, WASHINGTON, UTAH, 84780, AND ELECTRONICALLY TO douglasbuchi@utah.gov. 493993 UPAXLP

**070—Legal Notices**  
SUMMONS BY PUBLICATION IN THE NORTHERN DIVISION OF THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, JUDGE: ADKINS  
Raven Casey, Petitioner, vs. Blake W Widner, Respondent. THE STATE OF UTAH TO THE ABOVE PETITIONER AND PLAINTIFF. YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED AND REQUIRED TO FILE AN ANSWER IN WRITING TO THE ATTACHED PETITION WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED IN THE STATE OF UTAH, OR WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED OUTSIDE THE STATE OF UTAH. IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO, JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT WILL BE TAKEN AGAINST YOU FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE AMENDED COMPLAINT. ANY ANSWER TO THIS ACTION MUST BE FILED WITH THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION, 350 SOUTH MAIN, SUITE 100-A, WASHINGTON, UTAH, 84780, AND ELECTRONICALLY TO douglasbuchi@utah.gov. 493993 UPAXLP

**070—Legal Notices**  
SUMMONS BY PUBLICATION IN THE NORTHERN DIVISION OF THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, JUDGE: ADKINS  
Raven Casey, Petitioner, vs. Blake W Widner, Respondent. THE STATE OF UTAH TO THE ABOVE PETITIONER AND PLAINTIFF. YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED AND REQUIRED TO FILE AN ANSWER IN WRITING TO THE ATTACHED PETITION WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED IN THE STATE OF UTAH, OR WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED OUTSIDE THE STATE OF UTAH. IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO, JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT WILL BE TAKEN AGAINST YOU FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE AMENDED COMPLAINT. ANY ANSWER TO THIS ACTION MUST BE FILED WITH THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION, 350 SOUTH MAIN, SUITE 100-A, WASHINGTON, UTAH, 84780, AND ELECTRONICALLY TO douglasbuchi@utah.gov. 493993 UPAXLP

**070—Legal Notices**  
SUMMONS BY PUBLICATION IN THE NORTHERN DIVISION OF THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, JUDGE: ADKINS  
Raven Casey, Petitioner, vs. Blake W Widner, Respondent. THE STATE OF UTAH TO THE ABOVE PETITIONER AND PLAINTIFF. YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED AND REQUIRED TO FILE AN ANSWER IN WRITING TO THE ATTACHED PETITION WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED IN THE STATE OF UTAH, OR WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED OUTSIDE THE STATE OF UTAH. IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO, JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT WILL BE TAKEN AGAINST YOU FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE AMENDED COMPLAINT. ANY ANSWER TO THIS ACTION MUST BE FILED WITH THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION, 350 SOUTH MAIN, SUITE 100-A, WASHINGTON, UTAH, 84780, AND ELECTRONICALLY TO douglasbuchi@utah.gov. 493993 UPAXLP

**070—Legal Notices**  
SUMMONS BY PUBLICATION IN THE NORTHERN DIVISION OF THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, JUDGE: ADKINS  
Raven Casey, Petitioner, vs. Blake W Widner, Respondent. THE STATE OF UTAH TO THE ABOVE PETITIONER AND PLAINTIFF. YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED AND REQUIRED TO FILE AN ANSWER IN WRITING TO THE ATTACHED PETITION WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED IN THE STATE OF UTAH, OR WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED OUTSIDE THE STATE OF UTAH. IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO, JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT WILL BE TAKEN AGAINST YOU FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE AMENDED COMPLAINT. ANY ANSWER TO THIS ACTION MUST BE FILED WITH THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION, 350 SOUTH MAIN, SUITE 100-A, WASHINGTON, UTAH, 84780, AND ELECTRONICALLY TO douglasbuchi@utah.gov. 493993 UPAXLP

**070—Legal Notices**  
SUMMONS BY PUBLICATION IN THE NORTHERN DIVISION OF THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, JUDGE: ADKINS  
Raven Casey, Petitioner, vs. Blake W Widner, Respondent. THE STATE OF UTAH TO THE ABOVE PETITIONER AND PLAINTIFF. YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED AND REQUIRED TO FILE AN ANSWER IN WRITING TO THE ATTACHED PETITION WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED IN THE STATE OF UTAH, OR WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED OUTSIDE THE STATE OF UTAH. IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO, JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT WILL BE TAKEN AGAINST YOU FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE AMENDED COMPLAINT. ANY ANSWER TO THIS ACTION MUST BE FILED WITH THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION, 350 SOUTH MAIN, SUITE 100-A, WASHINGTON, UTAH, 84780, AND ELECTRONICALLY TO douglasbuchi@utah.gov. 493993 UPAXLP

**070—Legal Notices**  
SUMMONS BY PUBLICATION IN THE NORTHERN DIVISION OF THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, JUDGE: ADKINS  
Raven Casey, Petitioner, vs. Blake W Widner, Respondent. THE STATE OF UTAH TO THE ABOVE PETITIONER AND PLAINTIFF. YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED AND REQUIRED TO FILE AN ANSWER IN WRITING TO THE ATTACHED PETITION WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED IN THE STATE OF UTAH, OR WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED OUTSIDE THE STATE OF UTAH. IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO, JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT WILL BE TAKEN AGAINST YOU FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE AMENDED COMPLAINT. ANY ANSWER TO THIS ACTION MUST BE FILED WITH THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION, 350 SOUTH MAIN, SUITE 100-A, WASHINGTON, UTAH, 84780, AND ELECTRONICALLY TO douglasbuchi@utah.gov. 493993 UPAXLP

**070—Legal Notices**  
SUMMONS BY PUBLICATION IN THE NORTHERN DIVISION OF THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, JUDGE: ADKINS  
Raven Casey, Petitioner, vs. Blake W Widner, Respondent. THE STATE OF UTAH TO THE ABOVE PETITIONER AND PLAINTIFF. YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED AND REQUIRED TO FILE AN ANSWER IN WRITING TO THE ATTACHED PETITION WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED IN THE STATE OF UTAH, OR WITHIN 30 DAYS IF YOU ARE SERVED OUTSIDE THE STATE OF UTAH. IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO, JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT WILL BE TAKEN AGAINST YOU FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE AMENDED COMPLAINT. ANY ANSWER TO THIS ACTION MUST BE FILED WITH THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION, 350 SOUTH MAIN, SUITE 100-A, WASHINGTON, UTAH, 84780, AND ELECTRONICALLY TO douglasbuchi@utah.gov. 493993 UPAXLP

**Legal Notices**  
UPAXLP naclegal@mediaonutah.com

**W.W. Clyde & Co.**  
Invitation to Bid  
Requests sub bid and material quotes from qualified UDOT, DBE, MBE, SBE, and SDBE subcontractors for the following projects:  
MP-R399(41) MVC 2100 North Redwood Road to I-15  
Bid opening is October 6, 2009 at 2:00 PM  
For more information contact:  
Jan Lowe or Mohammed Siddiqi  
St. George Replacement Airport Airfield Paving and Lighting Project  
Bid Opening is September 24, 2009 at 3:00 PM  
Please submit bids by September 23, 2009 to be considered  
Plans and specs are available at the following website: www.boiseww.com for more information contact:  
Matt Barnes  
801-802-6800  
Fax: 801-489-4591  
estimating@wwclyde.net  
W.W. Clyde & Co. website opportunity employer  
497560 UPAXLP

**NOTICE TO CONTRACTORS**  
The Utah Department of Transportation is advertising the following projects that will be available on the UDOT Web-Site, Saturday, September 12, 2009:  
STP-LC33(7) - Enhancement - Bike / Pedestrian Path of Bear Lake South Shore Bike / Ped Path in RICH County  
All project bidding information, including Notice to Contractors, Specifications and Plans, can be viewed, downloaded, and printed from UDOT's Project Development Construction Bid Opening Information website, http://eprpw.dot.utah.gov

**UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**  
John R. Njord, Director  
Dated this 12th day of September, 2009  
495348 UPAXLP

**Granite Construction Company**  
Requests sub-bids and material quotes from certified DBE's for the following project:  
F-0040(85)17; US-40, 400 North to 1500 South, MP1.6.5 to 18.3  
Bids on Sept. 22, 2009 at 2:00 p.m.(MDT)  
Plans are available through the Owner, in area plan rooms, and are available for review in our office.  
If you have any questions please contact us at:  
Phone: 801-526-6000  
Fax: 801-526-6091  
1000 N. Warm Springs Road SL, UT 84116  
We are an Equal Opportunity Employer.  
494437 UPAXLP

**Granite Construction Company**  
Requests sub-bids and material quotes from certified DBE's for the following project:  
No. APL 3-49-0060-14; St. George Replacement Airport  
Bids on Sept. 24, 2009 at 3:00 p.m.(MDT)  
Plans are available through the Owner, in area plan rooms, and are available for review in our office.  
If you have any questions please contact us at:  
Phone: 801-526-6000  
Fax: 801-526-6091  
1000 N. Warm Springs Road SL, UT 84116  
We are an Equal Opportunity Employer.  
493085 UPAXLP

**NOTICE**  
A Notice of Intent for the following project submitted in accordance with R307-401-1, Utah Administrative Code (UAC), has been received for consideration by the Executive Secretary, Utah Air Quality Board:  
Company Name: Kilgore Paving and Maintenance, Inc.  
Location: Kilgore Paving and Maintenance, Inc.; Portable Aggregate Equipment - Not permanently based at a site, Portable Source, UT  
Project Description: Kilgore Paving and Maintenance, Inc. has submitted a Notice of Intent (NOI) for portable aggregate equipment. This AO shall be considered as an application of Best Available Control Technology (BACT). The emission control measures listed in the conditions of this AO shall apply to all of the sites at which the equipment operates. The equipment shall be operated for a period of not more than 180 operating days in any calendar year at any site. A relocation shall not exceed 365 consecutive days at any location in the State of Utah. Prior to commencement of operation at a site, the source shall submit a Notice of Temporary Relocation to the Executive Secretary. If the plant operates at a site in compliance with the AO, the Notice of Temporary Relocation, and the Temporary Relocation Approval Letter, dispersion modeling results have determined that there will be no adverse impacts on air quality at the nearest residence or commercial establishment. Compliance with the opacity limits and various operating practices listed in the conditions of the AO shall be considered as application of Best Available Control Technology (BACT). The emission control measures listed in the conditions of this AO shall apply to all of the sites at which the equipment operates. The equipment shall be operated for a period of not more than 180 operating days in any calendar year at any site. A relocation shall not exceed 365 consecutive days at any location in the State of Utah. Prior to commencement of operation at a site, the source shall submit a Notice of Temporary Relocation to the Executive Secretary. If the plant operates at a site in compliance with the AO, the Notice of Temporary Relocation, and the Temporary Relocation Approval Letter, dispersion modeling results have determined that there will be no adverse impacts on air quality at the nearest residence or commercial establishment. Compliance with the opacity limits and various operating practices listed in the conditions of the AO shall be considered as application of Best Available Control Technology (BACT). The emission control measures listed in the conditions of this AO shall apply to all of the sites at which the equipment operates. The equipment shall be operated for a period of not more than 180 operating days in any calendar year at any site. A relocation shall not exceed 365 consecutive days at any location in the State of Utah. Prior to commencement of operation at a site, the source shall submit a Notice of Temporary Relocation to the Executive Secretary. If the plant operates at a site in compliance with the AO, the Notice of Temporary Relocation, and the Temporary Relocation Approval Letter, dispersion modeling results have determined that there will be no adverse impacts on air quality at the nearest residence or commercial establishment. Compliance with the opacity limits and various operating practices listed in the conditions of the AO shall be considered as application of Best Available Control Technology (BACT). The emission control measures listed in the conditions of this AO shall apply to all of the sites at which the equipment operates. The equipment shall be operated for a period of not more than 180 operating days in any calendar year at any site. A relocation shall not exceed 365 consecutive days at any location in the State of Utah. Prior to commencement of operation at a site, the source shall submit a Notice of Temporary Relocation to the Executive Secretary. If the plant operates at a site in compliance with the AO, the Notice of Temporary Relocation, and the Temporary Relocation Approval Letter, dispersion modeling results have determined that there will be no adverse impacts on air quality at the nearest residence or commercial establishment. Compliance with the opacity limits and various operating practices listed in the conditions of the AO shall be considered as application of Best Available Control Technology (BACT). The emission control measures listed in the conditions of this AO shall apply to all of the sites at which the equipment operates. The equipment shall be operated for a period of not more than 180 operating days in any calendar year at any site. A relocation shall not exceed 365 consecutive days at any location in the State of Utah. Prior to commencement of operation at a site, the source shall submit a Notice of Temporary Relocation to the Executive Secretary. If the plant operates at a site in compliance with the AO, the Notice of Temporary Relocation, and the Temporary Relocation Approval Letter, dispersion modeling results have determined that there will be no adverse impacts on air quality at the nearest residence or commercial establishment. Compliance with the opacity limits and various operating practices listed in the conditions of the AO shall be considered as application of Best Available Control Technology (BACT). The emission control measures listed in the conditions of this AO shall apply to all of the sites at which the equipment operates. The equipment shall be operated for a period of not more than 180 operating days in any calendar year at any site. A relocation shall not exceed 365 consecutive days at any location in the State of Utah. Prior to commencement of operation at a site, the source shall submit a Notice of Temporary Relocation to the Executive Secretary. If the plant operates at a site in compliance with the AO, the Notice of Temporary Relocation, and the Temporary Relocation Approval Letter, dispersion modeling results have determined that there will be no adverse impacts on air quality at the nearest residence or commercial establishment. Compliance with the opacity limits and various operating practices listed in the conditions of the AO shall be considered as application of Best Available Control Technology (BACT). The emission control measures listed in the conditions of this AO shall apply to all of the sites at which the equipment operates. The equipment shall be operated for a period of not more than 180 operating days in any calendar year at any site. A relocation shall not exceed 365 consecutive days at any location in the State of Utah. Prior to commencement of operation at a site, the source shall submit a Notice of Temporary Relocation to the Executive Secretary. If the plant operates at a site in compliance with the AO, the Notice of Temporary Relocation, and the Temporary Relocation Approval Letter, dispersion modeling results have determined that there will be no adverse impacts on air quality at the nearest residence or commercial establishment. Compliance with the opacity limits and various operating practices listed in the conditions of the AO shall be considered as application of Best Available Control Technology (BACT). The emission control measures listed in the conditions of this AO shall apply to all of the sites at which the equipment operates. The equipment shall be operated for a period of not more than 180 operating days in any calendar year at any site. A relocation shall not exceed 365 consecutive days at any location in the State of Utah. Prior to commencement of operation at a site, the source shall submit a Notice of Temporary Relocation to the Executive Secretary. If the plant operates at a site in compliance with the AO, the Notice of Temporary Relocation, and the Temporary Relocation Approval Letter, dispersion modeling results have determined that there will be no adverse impacts on air quality at the nearest residence or commercial establishment. Compliance with the opacity limits and various operating practices listed in the conditions of the AO shall be considered as application of Best Available Control Technology (BACT). The emission control measures listed in the conditions of this AO shall apply to all of the sites at which the equipment operates. The equipment shall be operated for a period of not more than 180 operating days in any calendar year at any site. A relocation shall not exceed 365 consecutive days at any location in the State of Utah. Prior to commencement of operation at a site, the source shall submit a Notice of Temporary Relocation to the Executive Secretary. If the plant operates at a site in compliance with the AO, the Notice of Temporary Relocation, and the Temporary Relocation Approval Letter, dispersion modeling results have determined that there will be no adverse impacts on air quality at the nearest residence or commercial establishment. Compliance with the opacity limits and various operating practices listed in the conditions of the AO shall be considered as application of Best Available Control Technology (BACT). The emission control measures listed in the conditions of this AO shall apply to all of the sites at which the equipment operates. The equipment shall be operated for a period of not more than 180 operating days in any calendar year at any site. A relocation shall not exceed 365 consecutive days at any location in the State of Utah. Prior to commencement of operation at a site, the source shall submit a Notice of Temporary Relocation to the Executive Secretary. If the plant operates at a site in compliance with the AO, the Notice of Temporary Relocation, and the Temporary Relocation Approval Letter, dispersion modeling results have determined that there will be no adverse impacts on air quality at the nearest residence or commercial establishment. Compliance with the opacity limits and various operating practices listed in the conditions of the AO shall be considered as application of Best Available Control Technology (BACT). The emission control measures listed in the conditions of this AO shall apply to all of the sites at which the equipment operates. The equipment shall be operated for a period of not more than 180 operating days in any calendar year at any site. A relocation shall not exceed 365 consecutive days at any location in the State of Utah. Prior to commencement of operation at a site, the source shall submit a Notice of Temporary Relocation to the Executive Secretary. If the plant operates at a site in compliance with the AO, the Notice of Temporary Relocation, and the Temporary Relocation Approval Letter, dispersion modeling results have determined that there will be no adverse impacts on air quality at the nearest residence or commercial establishment. Compliance with the opacity limits and various operating practices listed in the conditions of the AO shall be considered as application of Best Available Control Technology (BACT). The emission control measures listed in the conditions of this AO shall apply to all of the sites at which the equipment operates. The equipment shall be operated for a period of not more than 180 operating days in any calendar year at any site. A relocation shall not exceed 365 consecutive days at any location in the State of Utah. Prior to commencement of operation at a site, the source shall submit a Notice of Temporary Relocation to the Executive Secretary. If the plant operates at a site in compliance with the AO, the Notice of Temporary Relocation, and the Temporary Relocation Approval Letter, dispersion modeling results have determined that there will be no adverse impacts on air quality at the nearest residence or commercial establishment. Compliance with the opacity limits and various operating practices listed in the conditions of the AO shall be considered as application of Best Available Control Technology (BACT). The emission control measures listed in the conditions of this AO shall apply to all of the sites at which the equipment operates. The equipment shall be operated for a period of not more than 180 operating days in any calendar year at any site. A relocation shall not exceed 365 consecutive days at any location in the State of Utah. Prior to commencement of operation at a site, the source shall submit a Notice of Temporary Relocation to the Executive Secretary. If the plant operates at a site in compliance with the AO, the Notice of Temporary Relocation, and the Temporary Relocation Approval Letter, dispersion modeling results have determined that there will be no adverse impacts on air quality at the nearest residence or commercial establishment. Compliance with the opacity limits and various operating practices listed in the conditions of the AO shall be considered as application of Best Available Control Technology (BACT). The emission control measures listed in the conditions of this AO shall apply to all of the sites at which the equipment operates. The equipment shall be operated for a period of not more than 180 operating days in any calendar year at any site. A relocation shall not exceed 365 consecutive days at any location in the State of Utah. Prior to commencement of operation at a site, the source shall submit a Notice of Temporary Relocation to the Executive Secretary. If the plant operates at a site in compliance with the AO, the Notice of Temporary Relocation, and the Temporary Relocation Approval Letter, dispersion modeling results have determined that there will be no adverse impacts on air quality at the nearest residence or commercial establishment. Compliance with the opacity limits and various operating practices listed in the conditions of the AO shall be considered as application of Best Available Control Technology (BACT). The emission control measures listed in the conditions of this AO shall apply to all of the sites at which the equipment operates. The equipment shall be operated for a period of not more than 180 operating days in any calendar year at any site. A relocation shall not exceed 365 consecutive days at any location in the State of Utah. Prior to commencement of operation at a site, the source shall submit a Notice of Temporary Relocation to the Executive Secretary. If the plant operates at a site in compliance with the AO, the Notice of Temporary Relocation, and the Temporary Relocation Approval Letter, dispersion modeling results have determined that there will be no adverse impacts on air quality at the nearest residence or commercial establishment. Compliance with the opacity limits and various operating practices listed in the conditions of the AO shall be considered as application of Best Available Control Technology (BACT). The emission control measures listed in the conditions of this AO shall apply to all of the sites at which the equipment operates. The equipment shall be operated for a period of not more than 180 operating days in any calendar year at any site. A relocation shall not exceed 365 consecutive days at any location in the State of Utah. Prior to commencement of operation at a site, the source shall submit a Notice of Temporary Relocation to the Executive Secretary. If the plant operates at a site in compliance with the AO, the Notice of Temporary Relocation, and the Temporary Relocation Approval Letter, dispersion modeling results have determined that there will be no adverse impacts on air quality at the nearest residence or commercial establishment. Compliance with the opacity limits and various operating practices listed in the conditions of the AO shall be considered as application of Best Available Control Technology (BACT). The emission control measures listed in the conditions of this AO shall apply to all of the sites at which the equipment operates. The equipment shall be operated for a period of not more than 180 operating days in any calendar year at any site. A relocation shall not exceed 365 consecutive days at any location in the State of Utah. Prior to commencement of operation at a site, the source shall submit a Notice of Temporary Relocation to the Executive Secretary. If the plant operates at a site in compliance with the AO, the Notice of Temporary Relocation, and the Temporary Relocation Approval Letter, dispersion modeling results have determined that there will be no adverse impacts on air quality at the nearest residence or commercial establishment. Compliance with the opacity limits and various operating practices listed in the conditions of the AO shall be considered as application of Best Available Control Technology (BACT). The emission control measures listed in the conditions of this AO shall apply to all of the sites at which the equipment operates. The equipment shall be operated for a period of not more than 180 operating days in any calendar year at any site. A relocation shall not exceed 365 consecutive days at any location in the State of Utah. Prior to commencement of operation at a site, the source shall submit a Notice of Temporary Relocation to the Executive Secretary. If the plant operates at a site in compliance with the AO, the Notice of Temporary Relocation, and the Temporary Relocation Approval Letter, dispersion modeling results have determined that there will be no adverse impacts on air quality at the nearest residence or commercial establishment. Compliance with the opacity limits and various operating practices listed in the conditions of the AO shall be considered as application of